REMARKS

This application has been carefully studied and amended in view of the Office Action dated September 25, 2008. Reconsideration of that action is requested in view of the following.

The Abstract of the Disclosure has been amended so that it comprises a separate sheet (page 41) and deletes reference to the title of the invention. If the Examiner prefers any further changes to the Abstract it is requested that she indicate such changes and the changes will be made.

The Specification has been amended to insert the various headings. In addition, a brief description of the drawings has been added to page 2.

In view of the rejection of Claims 15-16 on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting attached hereto is a Terminal Disclaimer. As a result of this Terminal Disclaimer such rejection should be withdrawn.

It is noted that Claims 17-23 were simply objected to as depending from a rejected base claim. Since the base claim should now be allowable in view of the Terminal Disclaimer, Claims 17-23 should also be allowed.

For the sake of completeness Claims 24-34 have been added. These claims are all dependent indirectly on Claim 15 and are essentially different combinations of features from dependent Claims 17-23 with regard to different parent claims. Specifically, Claim 24 is dependent on Claim 16 and adds the features of Claim 17. Claim 25 is dependent on Claim 24 and adds the features of Claim 18. Claim 26 is dependent on Claim 25 and adds all of the features of Claims 19-23. Claims 27-32 are each dependent on Claim 16 and add the features of Claims 18-23, respectively. Claims 33 and 34 are each dependent on Claim 17 and add the features of Claims 18 and 19, respectively.

Since there are 20 claims pending a supplemental fee should not be required by the addition of Claims 24-34. In addition, as noted above, since newly added Claims 24-34 are

dependent on prior claims which have not been rejected over the prior art these claims should be allowed by virtue of that dependency and for the features added by those claims.

In view of the above remarks and amendments this application should be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Harold Pezzner

Registration No.: 22,112

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

652038